UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL PIETERMARITZBURG CAMPUS EXAMINATION: June 2014

SCHOOL: AGRICULTURAL, EARTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

LEVEL: HONOURS

MODULE: RESEARCH METHODS IN ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE

CODE: GEOG700

DURATION: 3 HOURS TOTAL MARKS: 300

INTERNAL EXAMINERS: Prof T R Hill, Dr M Dent, Mr T Foggin

EXTERNAL EXAMINER: Prof S Oldfield

This paper consists of THREE pages

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Answer Three questions in total

- 2. Answer **ONE** question from Question One
- 3. Answer **ONE** question from Question Two
- 4. Answer ONE question from Question Three
- 5. Each question is worth 100 marks
- 3. Answer each section in a separate answer book
- 4. On the cover of each answer book indicate the number of the question answered

Answer each question in a separate book

QUESTION ONE

a. Outline your understanding of the positivism versus realism debate and evaluate Richard's (1996) view that extensive and intensive research approaches complement each other and should not be separated from each other.

OR

b. In the context of the debate developed by Nyambe and Breen (2012) that tackles why little progress has been made to adopt theoretical and methodological approaches that transcend the artificial boundaries imposed by the organisations of science and education. Second, do you agree that "scientists define and reinforce a culture that strengthens disciplinary

boundaries and designates legitimate and illegitimate pursuits, which in turn fosters the destructive 'argument culture' rather than the constructive 'dialogue culture'" (page 13)? In your response make reference to examples.

OR

c. "...geography has meant different things to different people in different places and thus the 'nature of geography' is always negotiated." (Livingston, 1992: p 28) The boundaries of our discipline are porous, ever-changing and respond to society. Occasionally these boundaries are changed, usually through the establishment of a new sub-discipline that occupies an enclave within the pre-exiting division of academic space. Critically assess one such sub-discipline within Geography, providing examples and placing the sub-discipline within a southern African regional context.

QUESTION TWO

a. "In South Africa there is an especially urgent need for geographers to engage in field-based PRA research, particularly in marginal and impoverished black rural areas. Such research must be undertaken with a view to identifying appropriate development options and strategies in partnership with communities" (Binns, T. et al, 1995: 32)

Critically discuss the merits of this quote, given that the research challenges humankind faces in the environmental realm are complex, dynamic, value laden, multi-facetted and involve multiple actors and their subject views.

OR

b. The research proposal presented as Appendix One contains a number of errors and possible errors. These have been highlighted with the comment facility in Word. You are required to comment on each of these. Number your comments in the same order as they appear in the text below. If you are not sure if you have exhausted your comments on a particular one leave some space to allow you to return to that comment and add more.

QUESTION THREE

a. With reference to Hammet (2012) article on W(h)ither South African Human Geography? Outline the argument presented and subsequent responses to said argument, with the aid of international experience and own perceptions debate the position of Human Geography in South Africa, where should it be housed, what taught and where?

OR

b. "The appropriate design of an experiment is the key to successful analysis of a problem, for without the correct design you will never have the right sort of data" (Dytham, 2005: 26).

Outline the necessary steps within experimental design and discuss the limitations experienced at each step in producing 'the right sort of data'.

OR

c. Respond to the statement that "an appropriate sampling method is a fundamental part of data collection for scientifically based decision-making and sound decision-making is critically dependent on accurate information" (Marshall, 1996: page unknown)

Investigating non-regulatory barriers and incentives to stakeholder participation in reducing water pollution

Commented [U1]: Comment 1

Commented [U2]: Comment 2

HONOURS RESEARCH PROPOSAL by Student No 202345765

Research Title

Investigating non-regulatory barriers and incentives to stakeholder participation in reducing water pollution.

Introduction

Streams are often blighted by chronic, severe solid and liquid waste pollution, and banks are clogged with invasive alien plants. According to the results of weekly monitoring by the authorities most streams are polluted in catchments.

Pollution has been a serious problem in the streams for at least two decades, and over the years a number of efforts have been made to address the problems.

The national Department of Water Affairs (DWA) is the main policy coordination and regulatory body, charged with implementing and administering the National Water Act of 1998; DWA thus has responsibility for both water quantity and quality, including effluent discharges. Locally, the main stakeholders are hopefully willing to answer questions on the catchment.

This research will attempt to uncover the reasons why these various stakeholders, despite past opportunities, have been unwilling or unable to address the pollution problems, and what factors may allow them to effect real change in the future.

Statement of the Problem

This research stems from the practical problems outlined in the preceding sections. The current socio-ecological system in which both industry and residents contribute to pollution whose effects are felt both by residents and downstream users must somehow be altered in order to effect change. One possible way to work toward reducing the problem is to involve all stakeholders in meaningful participation; a key element will therefore be the use of force to break down barriers to said participation. Widmer (1989) classifies barriers and incentives to participation in four categories. This categorisation may prove useful in looking at the motivating and hindering factors involved in this case study.

Research Question:

Can non-regulatory barriers and incentives influence stakeholder participation in reducing water pollution?

Research Objective

The overall objective of this research is to identify barriers to and rewards for to stakeholder participation in reducing water pollution in the Baynespruit. The following specific objectives support this overall objective:

- 1) Analyse past initiatives that have tried to address the pollution in the Baynespruit
- identify lead stakeholder(s) and goals
- identify difficulties encountered and reasons for lack of success
- 2) Understand stakeholders' views of the problem
- identify stakeholders (industry, local residents, NGOs)
- determine what they see as the major issues and their effects

Commented [U3]: Comment 3

Commented [U4]: Comment 4

Commented [U5]: Comment 5

Commented [U6]: Comment 6

Commented [U7]: Comment 7

Commented [U8]: Comment 8

Commented [U9]: Comment 9

Commented [U10]: Comment 10

- identify the perceived importance of the problems
- 3) Identify barriers to, and motivating factors for, participation
- identify socio-economic, political and/or technical barriers to participation
- · identify motivating factors for participation

Research Design

A semi-quantitative research methodology will be followed, collecting data through postal questionnaire research. This case study will take the form of an in-depth investigation of the stakeholders directly affecting, and affected by, pollution in the Baynespruit water course. It will be undertaken in order to facilitate effective stakeholder participation and positive outcomes for the newly-established Baynespruit Conservancy.

Data Collection

This case study will be thorough be and will question 225 stakeholders directly affected by pollution. This includes those who live, work (in industry or small-scale agriculture) and play (undertake recreational activities such as canoeing) along the Baynespruit or immediately downstream of its confluence with the Msunduzi River. The objectives of the study will be explained to potential interview subjects, and if permission to conduct the interview is granted, anonymity will be ensured.

Random sampling will be used to select the interview subjects from among industry representatives, residents of Sobantu and the informal settlement near the Willowton Industrial Area, farmers in the Community Co-op and NGO representatives. Selection to achieve a representative sample will make use of the knowledge and experience of the government, para-statal, private sector and particularly NGO members of the Baynespruit Conservancy. Should non-responses be encountered, alternative interview subjects will be identified.

Measuring Instrument

A set of questions will be the measuring instrument used to conduct the research. The intention is to elicit information about both apparent and more implicit barriers and incentives to participation by asking both direct and more open-ended questions. If respondents are more comfortable completing the questionnaire in isiZulu, an interpreter will be used.

The interview questions will be pre-tested on a small group of respondents. These preliminary results will be used to adapt the research design. It is hoped that using a pilot study will increase the research validity.

Data Interpretation and Analysis

The raw data obtained from the interviews will be summarised to identify common elements within and among stakeholder groups. Significant barriers and incentives may be categorised as specific to this case study or more generally applicable in an urban South African context. The goal is an understanding of the system as a whole, and the potential for various stakeholders to become fully involved in meaningful participation; it is hoped that this research might generate new insight in this regard.

Work Plan

In order to complete the research work, a number of tasks must be undertaken over a defined period of time eg.

- develop the interview questionnaire,
- post the above questionnaires to 225 potential respondents;

Commented [U11]: Comment 11
Commented [U12]: Comment 12

Commented [U13]: Comment 13

Commented [U14]: Comment 14

Commented [U15]: Comment 15

Commented [U16]: Comment 16

Commented [U17]: Comment 17

Commented [U18]: Comment 18

Commented [U19]: Comment 19

Commented [U20]: Comment 20

 receive questionnaire back in post; 	Commented [U21]: Comment 21
 conducting follow up interviews; 	Commented [U22]: Comment 22
 processing the results with the SPSS statistic package 	Commented [U23]: Comment 23
•	
 refining of the questions & post revised questions to the 225 potential 	
respondents;	Commented [U24]: Comment 24
•	
 receive data back from questionnaire respondents and analyse it; 	Commented [U25]: Comment 25

discussion the results